

Inspection report on compliance with HTA licensing standards
 Inspection date: **03 January (remote) 06 January (site visit) 2025**



The Beehive (Clinical Education Hub)
 HTA licensing number 12720

Licensed under the Human Tissue Act 2004

Licensed activities

Area	Carrying out of an anatomical examination	Removal from the body of a deceased person (otherwise than in the course of an anatomical examination or post mortem examination) of relevant material of which the body consists or which it contains, for use for a scheduled purpose other than transplantation	Storage of a body of a deceased person or relevant material which has come from a human body for use for a scheduled purpose	Storage of an anatomical specimen
The Beehive (Clinical Education Hub)	Licensed	Not licensed	Licensed	Licensed

Summary of inspection findings

The HTA found the Designated Individual (DI) and the Licence Holder (LH) to be suitable in accordance with the requirements of the legislation.

Although the HTA found that The Beehive (Clinical Education Hub) ('the establishment') had met the majority of the HTA's standards, one minor shortfall was found against standards for Governance and quality systems. The shortfall related to the audit findings.

The HTA has assessed the establishment as suitable to be licensed for the activities specified, subject to corrective and preventative actions being implemented to meet the shortfall identified during the inspection.

Compliance with HTA standards

GQ2 There is a documented system of audit.		
b) Audit findings include who is responsible for follow-up actions and the timeframes for completing these.	<p>The establishment's approach to audit did not include how audit findings would be managed in accordance with this standard and there were no supporting documents or templates.</p> <p><i>The establishment submitted sufficient evidence to address this shortfall before the report was finalised.</i></p>	Minor

Advice

The HTA advises the DI to consider the following to further improve practices:

Number	Standard	Advice
1.	GQ1(b)	To improve consistency and strengthen governance arrangements, the DI is advised to ensure that standard operating procedures (SOPs) are reviewed by a person who is not the original author.

Background

The establishment (The Beehive (Clinical Education Hub) houses the Warwick Medical School facility that provides the teaching of human anatomy to undergraduate and postgraduate students. The establishment has been licensed by the HTA since November 2021. Since obtaining their licence, the establishment has undergone changes to both its name and address. This was the first inspection of the establishment.

Description of inspection activities undertaken

The HTA's regulatory requirements are set out in Appendix 1. The Regulation Manager covered the following areas during the inspection:

Standards assessed against during inspection

39 out of 47 HTA licensing standards were covered during the assessment (standards published 3 April 2017). Some standards relating to consent procedures (C1(a), C1(d), C1(e), and C1(f)) and standards relating to consent training (C2(a), C2(b) and C2(c)) were not applicable as the establishment does not directly seek consent from donors. In addition, the establishment will not transfer any material to other establishments and standard T1(g) was not applicable.

Review of governance documentation

Policies and procedural documents relating to all licensed activities, including standard operating procedures, risk assessments and traceability systems were assessed. Documents detailing the plans for staff training, adverse events, incidents, governance meetings and

audits were also reviewed.

Visual inspection

The inspection included a visual inspection of the anatomy suite including the areas where staff receive and store plastinated specimens, and the areas where plastinated specimens are used for training and anatomical examination.

Audit of records

An audit was undertaken of records for eight plastinated specimens. There was full traceability of all materials.

Meetings with establishment staff

The inspection included discussions with the DI, Person Designated (PD) and three other staff members carrying out processes under the licence.

Report sent to DI for factual accuracy: 17 January 2025

Report returned from DI: 27 January 2025

Final report issued: 28 January 2025

Appendix 1: The HTA's regulatory requirements

Prior to the grant of a licence, the HTA must assure itself that the DI is a suitable person to supervise the activity authorised by the licence and that the premises are suitable for the activity.

The statutory duties of the DI are set down in Section 18 of the Human Tissue Act 2004. They are to secure that:

- the other persons to whom the licence applies are suitable persons to participate in the carrying-on of the licensed activity;
- suitable practices are used in the course of carrying on that activity; and
- the conditions of the licence are complied with.

Its programme of inspections to assess compliance with HTA licensing standards is one of the assurance mechanisms used by the HTA.

The HTA developed its licensing standards with input from its stakeholders. They are designed to ensure the safe and ethical use of human tissue and the dignified and respectful treatment of the deceased. They are grouped under four headings:

- consent
- governance and quality systems
- traceability
- premises facilities and equipment.

This is an exception-based report: only those standards that have been assessed as not met are included. Where the HTA determines that there has been a shortfall against a standard, the level of the shortfall is classified as 'Critical', 'Major' or 'Minor' (see Appendix 2: Classification of the level of shortfall). Where HTA standards are fully met, but the HTA has identified an area of practice that could be further improved, advice is provided.

HTA inspection reports are published on the HTA's website.

Appendix 2: Classification of the level of shortfall

Where the HTA determines that a licensing standard is not met, the improvements required will be stated and the level of the shortfall will be classified as 'Critical', 'Major' or 'Minor'. Where the HTA is not presented with evidence that an establishment meets the requirements of an expected standard, it works on the premise that a lack of evidence indicates a shortfall.

The action an establishment will be required to make following the identification of a shortfall is based on the HTA's assessment of risk of harm and/or a breach of the Human Tissue Act 2004 (HT Act) or associated Directions.

1. Critical shortfall:

A shortfall which poses a significant risk to human safety and/or dignity or is a breach of the HT Act or associated Directions
or

A combination of several major shortfalls, none of which is critical on its own, but which together could constitute a critical shortfall and should be explained and reported as such.

A critical shortfall may result in one or more of the following:

- A notice of proposal being issued to revoke the licence
- Some or all of the licensable activity at the establishment ceasing with immediate effect until a corrective action plan is developed, agreed by the HTA and implemented.
- A notice of suspension of licensable activities
- Additional conditions being proposed
- Directions being issued requiring specific action to be taken straightaway

2. Major shortfall:

A non-critical shortfall that:

- poses a risk to human safety and/or dignity, or
- indicates a failure to carry out satisfactory procedures, or
- indicates a breach of the relevant Codes of Practice, the HT Act and other relevant professional and statutory guidelines, or

- has the potential to become a critical shortfall unless addressed

or

A combination of several minor shortfalls, none of which is major on its own, but which, together, could constitute a major shortfall and should be explained and reported as such.

In response to a major shortfall, an establishment is expected to implement corrective and preventative actions within 1-2 months of the issue of the final inspection report. Major shortfalls pose a higher level of risk and therefore a shorter deadline is given, compared to minor shortfalls, to ensure the level of risk is reduced in an appropriate timeframe.

3. Minor shortfall:

A shortfall which cannot be classified as either critical or major, but which indicates a departure from expected standards.

This category of shortfall requires the development of a corrective action plan, the results of which will usually be assessed by the HTA either by desk-based review or at the time of the next inspection.

In response to a minor shortfall, an establishment is expected to implement corrective and preventative actions within 3-4 months of the issue of the final inspection report.

Follow up actions

A template corrective and preventative action plan will be sent as a separate Word document with the final inspection report.

Establishments must complete this template and return it to the HTA within 14 days of the issue of the final report.

Based on the level of the shortfall, the HTA will consider the most suitable type of follow-up of the completion of the corrective and preventative action plan. This may include a combination of

- a follow-up inspection
- a request for information that shows completion of actions
- monitoring of the action plan completion
- follow up at next routine inspection.

After an assessment of the proposed action plan establishments will be notified of the follow-up approach the HTA will take.