

Inspection report on compliance with HTA licensing standards  
Inspection date: **22 July 2022**



**Propath UK Limited**  
HTA licensing number 12613

Licensed under the Human Tissue Act 2004

**Licensed activities**

Area	Storage of relevant material which has come from a human body for use for a scheduled purpose	Removal from the body of a deceased person (otherwise than in the course of an anatomical examination or post-mortem examination) of relevant material of which the body consists or which it contains, for use for a scheduled purpose other than transplantation
Propath UK Limited	Licensed	Not licensed

**Summary of inspection findings**

The HTA found the Designated Individual (DI) and the Licence Holder (LH) to be suitable in accordance with the requirements of the legislation.

Although the HTA found that Propath UK Limited ('the establishment') had met the majority of the HTA's standards, two major and four minor shortfalls were found against the standards for Consent and Governance and quality systems in relation to consent policies, consent procedures, consent seeker training and risk assessments.

The HTA has assessed the establishment as suitable to be licensed for the activities specified, subject to corrective and preventative actions being implemented to meet the shortfalls identified during the inspection.

## Compliance with HTA standards

### Major Shortfalls

Standard	Inspection findings	Level of shortfall
<b>C1 Consent is obtained in accordance with the requirements of the Human Tissue Act 2004 (HT Act) and as set out in the code of practice</b>		
a) Consent procedures are documented and these, along with any associated documents, comply with the HT Act and the HTA's Codes of Practice	The establishment occasionally consents employees to provide blood smear slides for immunohistochemistry studies. There are no documented consent policies in place to ensure that consent is obtained in compliance with the legislation.	<b>Major</b>
<b>C2 Staff involved in seeking consent receive training and support in the essential requirements of taking consent</b>		
a) There is suitable training and support of staff involved in seeking consent, which addresses the requirements of the HT Act and the HTA's Codes of Practice.	Those with responsibility for seeking consent from employees for donations are not formally trained in the process of taking consent.  As a result, the consent standards C2(b) and (c) cannot be met.	<b>Major</b>

### Minor Shortfalls

Standard	Inspection findings	Level of shortfall
<b>C1 Consent is obtained in accordance with the requirements of the Human Tissue Act 2004 (HT Act) and as set out in the code of practice</b>		
d) Written information is provided to those from whom consent is sought, which reflects the requirements of the HT Act and the HTA's Codes of Practice	Although consent forms are completed and the establishment's employees are aware of what they are consenting to, there is no written information provided.	<b>Minor</b>
<b>GQ1 All aspects of the establishments work are governed by documented policies and procedures as part of the overall governance process</b>		
a) Ratified, documented and up-to-date policies and procedures are in place, covering all licensable activities	There are no documented standard operating procedures covering the process of consenting employees for the donation of blood smear slides.	<b>Minor</b>
d) Matters relating to HTA-licensed activities are discussed at regular governance meetings, involving establishment staff	Governance meetings are held between the senior laboratory staff every two weeks. Although matters relating to the license are discussed, the meetings do not have an agenda and are not minuted.	<b>Minor</b>
<b>GQ6 Risk assessments of the establishment's practices and processes are completed regularly, recorded and monitored</b>		

a) There are documented risk assessments for all practices and processes requiring compliance with the HT Act and the HTA's Codes of Practice	Risk assessments are contained within a single document and cover the majority of activities and risks related to licensed activities. However, risks relating to consent have not been considered.	<b>Minor</b>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------

The HTA requires the DI to submit a completed corrective and preventative action (CAPA) plan setting out how the shortfalls will be addressed, within 14 days of receipt of the final report (refer to Appendix 2 for recommended timeframes within which to complete actions). The HTA will then inform the establishment of the evidence required to demonstrate that the actions agreed in the plan have been completed.

### Advice

The HTA advises the DI to consider the following to further improve practices:

Number	Standard	Advice
1.	N/A	There are no Persons Designated (PDs) named on the licence. The DI is advised to add PDs who can assist them in ensuring compliance with HTA standards.

### Background

ProPath UK Limited has been licensed by the HTA since December 2013. This was the second inspection of the establishment; the most recent inspection took place in September 2014.

Since the previous inspection, there have been some significant changes to the licence arrangements, including the change of Designated Individual (DI) in 2015, 2018, 2019 and 2021.

### Description of inspection activities undertaken

The HTA's regulatory requirements are set out in Appendix 1. The Regulation Manager covered the following areas during the inspection:

#### *Standards assessed against during inspection*

All 47 HTA licensing standards were covered during the inspection (standards published 3 April 2017).

#### *Review of governance documentation*

The establishment's self-assessment documentation, provided by the DI in advance of the inspection, was reviewed. Policies and procedural documents relating to all licensed activities, including standard operating procedures and risk assessments were also assessed. Documents detailing staff training, audits and incidents were reviewed, as well as consent-seeking procedures, consent forms and agreements with third parties who often consent on the establishment's behalf.

#### *Visual inspection*

No visual inspection was undertaken as part of this inspection.

#### *Audit of records*

The establishment's internal audits were reviewed which focussed on consent, traceability, sample storage, use and disposal. The establishment's sample management system was also audited as part of the virtual assessment.

#### *Meetings with establishment staff*

The assessment included discussions with the laboratory manager, a study manager, the senior molecular pathology technician, and the DI.

**Report sent to DI for factual accuracy: 12 August 2022**

**Report returned from DI: 23 August 2022**

**Final report issued: 23 August 2022**

**Completion of corrective and preventative actions (CAPA) plan**

Based on information provided, the HTA is satisfied that the establishment has completed the agreed actions in the CAPA plan and in doing so has taken sufficient action to correct all shortfalls addressed in the Inspection Report.

**Date: 30 November 2022**

## **Appendix 1: The HTA's regulatory requirements**

Prior to the grant of a licence, the HTA must assure itself that the DI is a suitable person to supervise the activity authorised by the licence and that the premises are suitable for the activity.

The statutory duties of the DI are set down in Section 18 of the Human Tissue Act 2004. They are to secure that:

- the other persons to whom the licence applies are suitable persons to participate in the carrying-on of the licensed activity;
- suitable practices are used in the course of carrying on that activity; and
- the conditions of the licence are complied with.

Its programme of inspections to assess compliance with HTA licensing standards is one of the assurance mechanisms used by the HTA.

The HTA developed its licensing standards with input from its stakeholders. They are designed to ensure the safe and ethical use of human tissue and the dignified and respectful treatment of the deceased. They are grouped under four headings:

- consent
- governance and quality systems
- traceability
- premises facilities and equipment.

This is an exception-based report: only those standards that have been assessed as not met are included. Where the HTA determines that there has been a shortfall against a standard, the level of the shortfall is classified as 'Critical', 'Major' or 'Minor' (see Appendix 2: Classification of the level of shortfall). Where HTA standards are fully met, but the HTA has identified an area of practice that could be further improved, advice is provided.

HTA inspection reports are published on the HTA's website.

## **Appendix 2: Classification of the level of shortfall**

Where the HTA determines that a licensing standard is not met, the improvements required will be stated and the level of the shortfall will be classified as 'Critical', 'Major' or 'Minor'. Where the HTA is not presented with evidence that an establishment meets the requirements of an expected standard, it works on the premise that a lack of evidence indicates a shortfall.

The action an establishment will be required to make following the identification of a shortfall is based on the HTA's assessment of risk of harm and/or a breach of the Human Tissue Act 2004 (HT Act) or associated Directions.

### **1. Critical shortfall:**

A shortfall which poses a significant risk to human safety and/or dignity or is a breach of the HT Act or associated Directions

*or*

A combination of several major shortfalls, none of which is critical on its own, but which together could constitute a critical shortfall and should be explained and reported as such.

A critical shortfall may result in one or more of the following:

- A notice of proposal being issued to revoke the licence
- Some or all of the licensable activity at the establishment ceasing with immediate effect until a corrective action plan is developed, agreed by the HTA and implemented.
- A notice of suspension of licensable activities
- Additional conditions being proposed
- Directions being issued requiring specific action to be taken straightaway

### **2. Major shortfall:**

A non-critical shortfall that:

- poses a risk to human safety and/or dignity, or
- indicates a failure to carry out satisfactory procedures, or
- indicates a breach of the relevant Codes of Practice, the HT Act and other relevant professional and statutory guidelines, or



- has the potential to become a critical shortfall unless addressed

*or*

A combination of several minor shortfalls, none of which is major on its own, but which, together, could constitute a major shortfall and should be explained and reported as such.

In response to a major shortfall, an establishment is expected to implement corrective and preventative actions within 1-2 months of the issue of the final inspection report. Major shortfalls pose a higher level of risk and therefore a shorter deadline is given, compared to minor shortfalls, to ensure the level of risk is reduced in an appropriate timeframe.

### **3. Minor shortfall:**

A shortfall which cannot be classified as either critical or major, but which indicates a departure from expected standards.

This category of shortfall requires the development of a corrective action plan, the results of which will usually be assessed by the HTA either by desk based review or at the time of the next inspection.

In response to a minor shortfall, an establishment is expected to implement corrective and preventative actions within 3-4 months of the issue of the final inspection report.

### **Follow up actions**

A template corrective and preventative action plan will be sent as a separate Word document with the final inspection report. Establishments must complete this template and return it to the HTA within 14 days of the issue of the final report.

Based on the level of the shortfall, the HTA will consider the most suitable type of follow-up of the completion of the corrective and preventative action plan. This may include a combination of

- a follow-up inspection
- a request for information that shows completion of actions
- monitoring of the action plan completion
- follow up at next routine inspection.

After an assessment of the proposed action plan establishments will be notified of the follow-up approach the HTA will take.